

Solano Community College

**MEASURE Q STEERING COMMITTEE**

Solano Community College  
4000, Suisun Valley Road  
Fairfield, CA 94534

**January 21, 2015**

**Room 443, Building 400**

**4:00 p.m.**

**NOTES**

**Attendees**

**Kitchell**

Ines Zildzic

Bob Collins

Bob Fuselier

**Trustees**

A. Marie Young

Sarah Chapman

Rosemary Thurston

**Campus**

Jowel Laguerre

Leslie Minor

John Yu

Roger Clague

Patrick Killingsworth

Patsy Itaya

Amy Utt

Dwight Calloway

**1. Introductions**

Executive Bonds Manager, Leigh Sata welcomed everyone.

**2. Comments from the public on Non-Agenda Items:**

There were no comments from the public.

**3. Autotechnology Project Site Location**

Ms. Zildzic provided a quick update regarding the programming efforts through December 17.

- Addition of an alignment bay and a repair bay
- Changes to the front of the building
- Added student gathering areas to the building
- Addition of Welding Program

Project Budget is currently approved at \$19.6 million. The current estimate is trending at \$25.6 million. The project will need an additional \$6 million (approximately).

Ms. Zildzic presented the committee with three site options and the associated cost per site for the Autotechnology project. The presentation included site layouts

Site 1 - Vallejo - The original proposed site.

Approved	\$19.6
Welding Addition	\$4 million
Auto Addition	\$2 million
Total	\$25.6 million

Site 2 – Belvedere - Site will require additional funding to be developed.

Approved	\$19.6
Site Improvements	\$7 million
Welding Addition	\$3.9 million
Auto Addition	\$2.1 million
Total	\$32.6.

The retaining wall will need to be addressed. \$3 million will be needed for site improvement to use the land regardless of program.

Question – Are we currently in neighbor negotiations? *We haven't engage them in any particular discussions or negotiations at this time. It is still very early in the discussion. We will make sure we are being neighborly. We have to go through a process. We have been very mindful of the design, making sure everything goes inside. From the exterior, you would not know that this is an Autotechnology building.*

Site 3 – Northgate Property – An existing site.

Approved	\$19.6 million
Site Improvements	\$9 million (which includes \$6 million to purchase the property)
Welding Addition	\$6 million
Total	\$34.6 million

We still need to purchase the property so there are costs associated with the purchase.

Mr. Collins presented an update regarding the Biotechnology Project. Had a meeting with Genentech and reviewed the floor plan for the biotechnology project. They are very excited that we are incorporating real world situations into our design.

Question - Were you able to invite other companies such as Bio Rad or Johnson & Johnson for their input? *We have been very fortunate to have our faculty share their conversations with other colleagues at other companies as well as reviewing with faculty at UC Davis.*

Mr. Collins reviewed floor plan for the biotechnology project.

Key Points

- Take advantage of the existing building.
- Very similar to what the architect showed everyone last time.
- Defining program space.
- Allows for growth.
- Faculty crossing paths with the students.

Comment – Mr. Yu – “A lot of faculty have provided input.”

This design is a high value proposition. It is currently \$6 million over the current budget. We are being very mindful of the building size and capitalize on using the existing center. You are getting the most value for your dollars. This is a design build project.

Question – We are using a lot a land. If we want to expand at a later date, can we add a second floor to the existing building? *No. These programs require a sophisticated air handling system especially with a shared space. We did an estimate for both a single and two story building. The single story was less expensive.*

Question – With regards to using less land – is that because you had a vision for use later, Dr. Laguerre? *No, we are just being mindful of our land use. While we have the land, we need to conserve it as much as possible.*

Comment – Trustee Thurston – “I feel this design is appropriate for the use.”

Comment – Mr. Clague - “Biotech is the major industry right now. We need to position ourselves to be able to grow this program. We need to really look at future growth.”

#### **4. Bond Spending Plan Review:**

Ms. Zildzic reviewed the current Bond spending plan that was approved by the Board of Trustees. The following changes will be presented to the Governing Board in February:

Biotechnology – Potential Variance      \$6.5 million

Autotechnology

Vallejo              \$6 million

Belvedere          \$13 million

Northgate          \$15 million (including \$6.8 m for property purchase)

Question - Has anyone actually looked at actual numbers of students we can serve for each site? 124 students for the program.

Question – Is this addressed in the Educational Master Plan? *Yes. The projection is a 2% to 3% a year globally. Biotechnology, aviation, and auto technology may vary. Dr Yu: In general, the sciences and math are really growing. Autotech has grown as well.*

Trustee Chapman – I appreciated the presentation and the layout. Please answer the questions presented today and take the presentation to the full board.

**5. Next Steps**

Present the options at the Board Study Session – February 3 incorporating the questions that were raised today into the presentation. We will be posting the presentation to the website as well as sending to the Trustees in advance of the Board Study Session.

**6. Agenda Items for Next Meeting – Wednesday, February 18, 2015 at 4:00 p.m.**

Move meetings to Honeychurch Board Room if possible.

**7. Adjournment**

Renee Pegues  
Business Operations Coordinator, Bond